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The reality about transportation is that it's future-oriented. If we're planning for what we have, we're behind the curve.

ANTHONY FOXX
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 states, “No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance.” Subsequent laws and Presidential Executive Orders added handicap, sex, age, or income status to the criteria for which discrimination is prohibited. The Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO) Title VI and LEP Plan was developed to ensure the HRTPO is in compliance with nondiscrimination requirements as outlined in Title 23 CFR and 49 CFR and related laws and provides specific information on how to file a nondiscrimination complaint.

A Limited English Proficient person is one who does not speak English as their primary language and/or has a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English very well. The Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO) Limited English Proficiency (LEP) Plan is part of a comprehensive effort to provide language assistance for LEP persons seeking meaningful access to HRTPO plans, programs, and activities as required by Executive Order 13166.

This plan details procedures on how to identify a person who may need language assistance, the ways in which assistance may be provided, and guidelines to notify LEP persons that assistance is available. In developing this LEP Plan, the HRTPO staff conducted a U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) Four-Factor LEP analysis, which considers the following:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by HRTPO programs, activities, or services;
2. The frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with HRTPO programs, activities, or services;
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity or service provided by the HRTPO to the LEP population; and
4. The resources available to the HRTPO and overall cost to provide LEP assistance.

This Plan provides an overview of Environmental Justice and Limited English Proficiency (LEP) concepts, definitions of Title VI and associated nondiscrimination acts, and how Title VI, Environmental Justice and LEP are incorporated into the metropolitan transportation planning process. Environmental Justice guidelines and outreach strategies for minority, low-income, and LEP populations are included within the HRTPO Public Participation Plan, completed in the Fall of 2015.

For more information about this plan, please contact the HRTPO Public Involvement and Title VI Administrator at (757) 420-8300 or kmiller@hrtpo.org.
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This report was included as a work element in the FY 2017 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), which was approved by the HRTPO at its April 2016 meeting. FHWA approved the original Title VI Plan in August of 2010. The last administrative update was made to the Title VI Plan in November of 2015. The LEP Plan was included as a work element in the FY 2017 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), which was last approved by the HRTPO in the Fall of 2015. It was updated to reflect changes in internal staff and partner agencies.
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PURPOSE

The Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO), as a sub-recipient of federal financial assistance, is required to comply with Title VI and subsequent nondiscrimination laws, as well as provide an overview of how the HRTPO addresses Executive Order 12898 on Environmental Justice and Executive Order 13166 on Limited English Proficiency (LEP). The purpose of this Title VI/LEP Plan is to describe the measures taken by the HRTPO to assure compliance with the rules and regulations associated with Title VI and subsequent nondiscrimination laws, Environmental Justice, and LEP.

HAMPTON ROADS TRANSPORTATION PLANNING ORGANIZATION

The HRTPO, for which this Title VI/LEP Plan is applicable, is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the Hampton Roads area. As such, it is a federally mandated transportation policy board comprised of representatives from local, state, and federal governments, transit agencies, and other stakeholders and is responsible for transportation planning and programming for the Hampton Roads Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA). Any highway or transit project or program to be constructed or conducted within the MPA and to be paid for with Federal funds, must receive approval by the HRTPO before any Federal funds can be expended. In addition, any highway or transit project deemed to be regionally-significant, regardless of the source(s) of funding, must receive HRTPO approval to proceed. The Hampton Roads MPA includes the cities of Chesapeake, Hampton, Newport News, Norfolk, Poquoson, Portsmouth, Suffolk, Virginia Beach, and Williamsburg; the counties of Isle of Wight, James City, and York; and portions of Gloucester County, Franklin and Southampton.

POLICY STATEMENT AND AUTHORITIES

The HRTPO assures that no person shall, on the grounds of race, color, national origin, handicap, sex, age or income status, as provided by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100.259) and subsequent non-discrimination laws and related authorities, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity. The HRTPO further assures that every effort will be made to ensure nondiscrimination in all of its programs and activities, whether those programs and activities are federally funded or not. In the event the HRTPO distributes federal-aid funds to another governmental entity, the HRTPO will include Title VI language in all written agreements and will monitor for compliance. The HRTPO Executive Director is responsible for ensuring implementation of the organization’s Title VI Plan. The Title VI Administrator, under supervision of the Executive Director, is responsible for coordinating the overall administration of the Title VI/LEP Plan and assurances. The authorities that provide guidance on Title VI and related nondiscrimination laws, regulations, and executive orders can be found in the “Title VI and Other Nondiscrimination Laws” section of this document.
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits federal agencies and sub-recipients of federal funds from discriminating, on the basis of race, color or national origin, against participants or clients of programs that receive Federal funding. Subsequent laws and Presidential Executive Orders added handicap, sex, age, or income status to the criteria for which discrimination is prohibited. This document addresses prohibition of discrimination as mandated by Title VI as well as by the authorities listed in the following section.

In addition to nondiscrimination, this document provides information regarding two Presidential Executive Orders pertaining to fairness and inclusiveness. Executive Order 12898 mandates that federal agencies address equity and fairness, or Environmental Justice, toward low-income and minority persons and populations. Executive Order 13166 mandates that federal agencies ensure that people who have Limited English Proficiency (LEP) have meaningful access to federally-conducted and/or funded programs and activities. Detailed Environmental Justice guidelines and outreach strategies for minority, low-income, and LEP populations to comply with Executive Order 12898 and Executive Order 13166 are included each as a separate chapter within the HRTPO Public Participation Plan.
Title VI is usually referred to in the context of federal nondiscrimination laws. Title VI is one of eleven titles included in the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The following is a list of all of the Civil Rights Act titles:

I. Voting Rights
II. Public Accommodation
III. Desegregation of Public Facilities
IV. Desegregation of Public Education
V. Commission on Civil Rights
VI. Nondiscrimination in Federally Assisted Programs and Activities
VII. Equal Employment Opportunity
VIII. Registration and Voting Statistics
IX. Intervention and Procedure after Removal in Civil Rights Cases
X. Establishment of Community Relations Service
XI. Miscellaneous

Title VI “declares it to be the policy of the United States that discrimination on the ground of race, color, or national origin shall not occur in connection with programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance and authorizes and directs the appropriate federal departments and agencies to take action to carry out this policy.” Any organization that receives Federal funds is bound to comply with Title VI.

Since the Civil Rights Act of 1964, other nondiscrimination laws have been enacted to expand the range and scope of Title VI coverage and applicability:

• The Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 prohibits unfair and inequitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property will be acquired as a result of federal and federal-aid programs and projects.

• The Federal Aid Highway Act of 1973 states that no person shall, on the grounds of sex be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal assistance under this title or carried on under this title.

• Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 states that no qualified handicapped person shall, solely by reason of his handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity that receives or benefits from federal financial assistance. This Act protects qualified individuals from discrimination based on their disability.

• The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 states that no person shall, on the basis of age, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving federal financial assistance. This act prohibits age discrimination in Federally Assisted Programs.

• The Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, P.L. 100-209 amends Title VI of the 1964 Civil Rights Act to make it clear that discrimination is prohibited throughout an entire agency if any part of the agency receives federal assistance.

• The American Disabilities Act (ADA) of 1990 prohibits discrimination against people with disabilities in employment, transportation, public accommodation, communications, and governmental activities.


• 49 CFR Part 21 – Nondiscrimination in Federally-Assisted Programs.
In addition to the laws listed above, two executive orders must be taken into account when ensuring compliance with federal nondiscrimination laws, directives, and mandates:

- **Executive Order 12898** – Environmental Justice (February 11, 1994), a presidential mandate to address equity and fairness toward low-income and minority persons/population. Executive Order 12898 organized and explained the federal government’s commitment to promote Environmental Justice. Each federal agency was directed to review its procedures and make environmental justice part of its mission. U.S. DOT Order 5610.2 (April 15, 1997) expanded upon Executive Order 12898 requirements and describes process for incorporating Environmental Justice principles into DOT programs, policies, and activities. FHWA Order 6640.23 (December 2, 1998) – FHWA Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations.

- **DOT Order 5610.2** on Environmental Justice summarized and expanded upon the requirements of Executive Order 12898 to include all policies, programs, and other activities that are undertaken, funded, or approved by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), or other U.S. DOT components.

- **Executive Order 13166** – Limited English Proficiency (August 11, 2000), a presidential directive to federal agencies to ensure people who have limited English proficiency have meaningful access to services. Executive Order 13166 ensures federal agencies and their recipients to improve access for persons with Limited English Proficiency to federally-conducted and federally assisted programs and activities.

- **The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969** addresses both social and economic impacts of environmental justice. NEPA stresses the importance of providing for “all Americans, safe, healthful, productive and aesthetically pleasing surroundings,” and provides a requirement for taking a “systematic interdisciplinary approach” to aid in considering environmental and community factors in decision-making.

- **FHWA/FTA Memorandum Implementing Title VI Requirements in Metropolitan and Statewide Planning** - This memorandum provides clarification for field officers on how to ensure that environmental justice is considered during current and future planning certification reviews. The intent of this memorandum was for planning officials to understand that environmental justice is equally as important during the planning stages as it is during the project development stages.

- **FHWA Order 6640.23A (June 14, 2012)** - Environmental justice at FHWA means identifying and addressing disproportionately high and adverse environmental or human health effects of the agency’s programs, policies, and activities on minority populations and low-income populations to achieve a more equitable distribution of benefits and burdens from the agency’s activities.

- **FTA Circular 4703.1 (August 15, 2012)** - This guidance provides recommendations to State Departments of Transportation, Metropolitan Planning Organizations, public transportation providers, and other recipients of FTA funds on how to fully engage EJ populations in the public transportation decision-making process; how to determine whether EJ populations would be subjected to disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects as a result of a transportation plan, project, or activity; and how to avoid, minimize, or mitigate these effects.
JUSTICE, sir, is the great interest of man on earth. It is the ligament which holds CIVILIZED BEINGS and civilized nations together.

DANIEL WEBSTER
On February 11, 1994, President William J. Clinton signed **Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations**, which directs federal agencies to develop strategies to help them identify and address disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on minority and low-income populations. The Executive Order was also intended to provide minority and low-income communities with access to public information and opportunities for public participation in matters relating to human health or the environment.

Adverse effects as described in Executive Order 12898 is the totality of significant individual or cumulative human health or environmental effects, including interrelated social and economic effects, which may include, but are not limited to:

- Bodily impairment, infirmity, illness or death.
- Air, noise, and water pollution and soil contamination.
- Destruction or disruption of:
  - man-made or natural resources
  - aesthetic values
  - community cohesion or a community’s economic vitality
  - the availability of public and private facilities and services
- Adverse employment effects.
- Displacement of persons, businesses, farms, or non-profit organizations.
- Increased traffic congestion, isolation, exclusion or separation of minority or low-income individuals within a given community or from the broader community.
- Denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of benefits of the HRTPO programs, policies, or activities.

Environmental Justice joins social and environmental movements by addressing the unequal environmental burden often borne by minority and low-income populations. The right to a safe, healthy, productive, and sustainable environment for all, where “environment” is considered in its totality to include the ecological (biological), physical (natural and built), social, political, aesthetic, and economic environments.

Environmental Justice helps to ensure that programs, policies, and activities that have adverse effects on communities do not affect minority and low-income populations disproportionately. To prevent discrimination as described in Executive Order 12898, the Federal Highway Administration Order 6640.23 Order To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations dated December 2, 1998 defines minority and low-income individuals and populations as follows:

**Minority** – a person who is Black, Hispanic, American Indian and Alaskan Native, or Asian American:

- **Black** – a person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa.
- **Hispanic** – a person of Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central or South American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race.
- **American Indian and Alaskan Native** – a person having origins in any of the original people of North America and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community recognition.
- **Asian American** – a person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent, or the Pacific islands.

**Minority Population** – any readily identifiable groups of minority persons who live in geographic proximity, and if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant workers or Native Americans) who will be similarly affected by a proposed program, policy or activity.
**Low-Income** – a person whose household income is at or below the United States Department of Health and Human Services poverty guidelines.

**Low-Income Population** – any readily identifiable group of low-income persons who live in geographic proximity, and, if circumstances warrant, geographically dispersed/transient persons (such as migrant workers or Native Americans) who would be similarly affected by a proposed program, policy or activity.

Environmental Justice is incorporated through all phases of the transportation planning and programming process. Environmental Justice Guidelines for the HRTPO have been developed and are included as a chapter within the updated HRTPO Public Participation Plan, completed in the Fall of 2015 and within the 2034 Hampton Roads Long-Range Transportation Plan. The HRTPO Environmental Justice Guidelines include maps identifying underserved communities, outreach strategies, benefits/burdens methodologies, and an evaluation component.

**LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY**

On August 11, 2000, President William J. Clinton signed **Executive Order 13166: Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency**. The Executive Order requires federal agencies to examine the services they provide, identify any need for services to those with limited English proficiency (LEP), and develop and implement a system to provide those services so LEP persons can have meaningful access to them. The Executive Order also requires that federal agencies work to ensure that recipients of federal financial assistance provide meaningful access to their LEP applicants and beneficiaries.

Individuals who do not speak English as their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, speak, write or understand English can be limited English proficient, or “LEP.” For an LEP individual, language can present a barrier to accessing benefits and services, understanding and exercising important rights, complying with applicable responsibilities, or understanding other information provided by federally funded programs and activities. These individuals may be entitled to language assistance at no cost to them with respect to a particular type of service, benefit, or encounter.

The United States Department of Transportation guidelines require that recipients of federal financial assistance provide “meaningful access to programs and activities” by giving LEP persons adequate and understandable information and allowing them to participate in programs and activities, where appropriate. Recipients of federal funds must take reasonable steps to remove barriers for LEP individuals. While designed to be a flexible and fact-dependent standard, the starting point is an individualized assessment that balances the following four factors:

1. Demography: number and/or proportion of LEP persons served and languages spoken in service area.
2. Frequency: rate of contact with service or program.
3. Importance: nature and importance of program/service to people’s lives.
4. Resources: available resources, including language assistance services.

The four-factor analysis should be used to determine which language assistance services are appropriate to address the identified needs of the LEP population. More information regarding the identification of LEP individuals within the community as well as outreach strategies are included within the HRTPO Public Participation Plan.
There can be no doubt that the **TRANSPORTATION sector** is the most **CRITICAL sector** of our **ECONOMY**.

ROBERT BRADY
The HRTPO Title VI Administrator is generally responsible for overseeing compliance with applicable nondiscrimination authorities in each of the metropolitan transportation planning and programming areas. Other staff members are expected to provide information and support to assist the Administrator member perform his or her tasks.

**Responsibilities of the Title VI Administrator**

The Title VI Administrator is responsible for supervising staff activities pertaining to nondiscrimination regulations and procedures set forth in federal guidance and in accordance with the HRTPO Title VI/LEP Plan. In support of this, the Title VI Administrator will:

- Identify, investigate, and work to eliminate discrimination when found to exist.
- Process discrimination complaints received by the HRTPO. Any individual may exercise his or her right to file a complaint with the HRTPO, if that person believes that he or she or any other program beneficiaries have been subjected to discrimination, in their receipt of benefits/services or on the grounds of race, color, national origin, sex, handicap, age, or income status. The HRTPO will make a concerted effort to resolve complaints in accordance with Discrimination Complaint Procedures.
- Meet with appropriate staff members to monitor and discuss progress, implementation, and compliance issues related to the HRTPO Title VI/LEP Plan.
- Periodically review the HRTPO Title VI/LEP Plan to assess whether administrative procedures are effective, staffing is appropriate, and adequate resources are available to ensure compliance.
- Work with staff involved with Consultant Contracts and the sub-recipient found to not be noncompliant, to resolve the deficiency status and write a remedial action if necessary, as described in the Consultant Contracts section of this document.
- Review important issues related to nondiscrimination with the Executive Director, as needed.
- Maintain a list of Interpretation Service Providers.
- Assess communication strategies and address additional language needs when needed.
- Disseminate information related to the nondiscrimination authorities. The HRTPO Title VI/LEP Plan is to be disseminated to HRTPO employees, contractors, the general public, and any of the HRTPO services.
- Coordinate with appropriate federal, state, and regional entities to periodically provide HRTPO employees with training opportunities regarding nondiscrimination.

**Questions**

For questions on the HRTPO Title VI/LEP Plan and procedures, please contact Kendall Miller, Title VI Administrator at (757) 420-8300 or by email at TitleVI-Administrator@hrtpo.org. For information on the HRTPO’s work programs or publications, please see the HRTPO website at www.hrtpo.org.
The HRTPO ensures compliance with all applicable nondiscrimination authorities and with regard to the following:

- Communications and Public Participation
- Planning and Programming
- Environmental Justice
- Consultant Contracts
- Education and Training

In addition to the responsibilities listed in this section, responsibilities may include reviewing Title VI guidelines and procedures for the HRTPO Title VI Plan, and incorporating Title VI-related language and provisions into HRTPO documents, as appropriate.

**Communications and Public Participation**

As described in the HRTPO's Public Participation Plan, since transportation has a direct and personal impact on the population of a region and is of critical importance to economic vitality and quality of life, the HRTPO continually endeavors to provide citizens, affected public agencies, and other interested parties with reasonable opportunities to be involved in the transportation planning process.

Note: The Communications and Public Participation area applies to and affects the HRTPO work program as a whole, particularly HRTPO efforts and responsibilities related to the Planning and Programming and Environmental Justice areas. The updated HRTPO Public Participation Plan includes specific information regarding outreach and communication strategies and detailed Environmental Justice guidelines. Special emphasis is placed on outreach strategies for minority, low-income, and LEP populations.

**HRTPO Responsibilities**

Under the guidance of the Title VI Administrator, HRTPO is responsible for evaluating and monitoring compliance with applicable nondiscrimination authorities in all aspects of the HRTPO public participation process. HRTPO will:

- Ensure that all communications and public participation efforts comply with nondiscrimination authorities.
- Develop and distribute information on nondiscrimination and HRTPO programs to the general public.
- Provide services for individuals with special needs – Upon advance notice, deaf interpreters, translators, and Braille documents can be provided for public meetings. Notifications of opportunities for public participation will include contact information for people needing these or other special accommodations.
- Include contact confirmation for people needing these or other special accommodations.
- Include the following statement in all of the HRTPO public notices:
  
  Include the Title VI Statement to the Public (see Appendix 2) in relevant press releases and on the HRTPO website.

The HRTPO will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact the Public Involvement and Community Outreach Administrator at (757) 420-8300 for more information.
PLANNING AND PROGRAMMING
The HRTPO is responsible for developing long- and short-range transportation plans and programs to provide efficient transportation services for the Hampton Roads Metropolitan Area. A comprehensive transportation process is used which entails the monitoring and collection of various data pertaining to transportation issues. The HRTPO coordinates with VDOT, DRPT, cities, counties, and area transit agencies; seeks public participation; and provides technical support when needed. An outreach plan for long-range transportation plan updates is included within the Public Participation Plan.

HRTPO RESPONSIBILITIES
HRTPO is responsible for evaluating and monitoring compliance with applicable nondiscrimination authorities in all aspects of the HRTPO planning and programming processes. The HRTPO Title VI Administrator will:

• Ensure that all aspects of the planning and programming process operation comply with nondiscrimination authorities.

• Prepare and update a demographic profile of the region using the most current and appropriate statistical information available on race, income, and other pertinent data.

• Make the document available to the public and member agencies on the HRTPO website or in hard copy format, if requested.

• Continue to ensure that staff makes concerted efforts to involve members of all social, economic, and ethnic groups in the planning process.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE
The concept of Environmental Justice includes the identification and assessment of disproportionately high and adverse effects of programs, policies, or activities on minority and low-income population groups. Within the context of regional transportation planning, Environmental Justice considers the relative distribution of costs and benefits from transportation investment strategies and policies among different segments of society.

HRTPO RESPONSIBILITIES
The Title VI Administrator is responsible for evaluating and monitoring compliance with applicable nondiscrimination authorities in all aspects of the HRTPO efforts to address Environmental Justice. HRTPO staff will:

• Ensure that all aspects of efforts to address Environmental Justice comply with nondiscrimination authorities.

• Create an Environmental Justice/Title VI Methodology that will be used as the basis upon which to conduct Environmental Justice analysis during the development of the Long-Range Transportation Plan.

• Prepare and update a demographic profile of the region using the most current and appropriate statistical information available on race, income, and other pertinent data.

• Ensure the updated HRTPO Public Participation Plan includes Environmental Justice guidelines, which outlines outreach strategies for minority, low-income, and LEP populations during the development and implementation of HRTPO plans and programs.

• Disseminate information to the public on the processes used and findings of any analysis, in accordance with all HRTPO public participation procedures.
CONSULTANT CONTRACTS
The HRTPO is responsible for selection, negotiation, and administration of its consultant contracts. The HRTPO operates under its internal contract procedures and all relevant federal and state laws.

HRTPO RESPONSIBILITIES
Under the guidance of the Title VI Administrator, who is responsible for evaluating and monitoring consultant contracts for compliance with nondiscrimination authorities, HRTPO staff will:

• Ensure inclusion of nondiscrimination language in contracts and Requests for Proposals (RFPs).
• Review consultants for compliance as described below:
  — Ensure that all consultants verify their compliance with nondiscrimination authorities, procedures, and requirements.
  — If a recipient or sub-recipients is found to be not in compliance with nondiscrimination authorities, the Title VI Administrator and relevant staff will work with the recipient or sub-recipient to resolve the deficiency status and write a remedial action if necessary.
  — Review outreach activities to ensure small, disadvantaged, minority, women, and disabled veteran businesses are not excluded to participate in opportunities to compete for consulting contracts.

EDUCATION AND TRAINING
In an effort to continuously improve the HRTPO's overall compliance posture, nondiscrimination training will be coordinated with FHWA, VDOT, DRPT, HRT, and WATA, and made available to HRTPO staff on an ongoing basis to ensure up-to-date knowledge of Title VI and other nondiscrimination statues.

HRTPO RESPONSIBILITIES
Under the category of education and training, nondiscrimination responsibilities include:

• Distribution of information to HRTPO staff on training programs regarding Title VI and related statutes.
• Tracking staff participation in nondiscrimination training.
• Maintain and update nondiscrimination training as necessary.
• Maintain and update the HRTPO Title VI Plan as necessary.
All the great things are **SIMPLE**, and many can be **EXPRESSED** in a single word: **FREEDOM, JUSTICE, HONOR, DUTY, MERCY, HOPE**.

Winston Churchill
Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, or national origin. Subsequent laws and Presidential Executive Orders added handicap, sex, age, income status and limited English proficiency to the criteria for which discrimination is prohibited, in programs and activities receiving federal financial assistance. As a sub-recipient of federal assistance, the HRTPO has adopted a Discrimination Complaint Procedure as part of its Title VI Plan to comply with Title VI and associated statutes.

1. Any person who believes that he or she, individually, as a member of any specific class, or in connection with any disadvantaged business enterprise, has been subjected to discrimination prohibited by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, or any nondiscrimination authority, may file a complaint with the HRTPO. A complaint may also be filed by a representative on behalf of such a person. All complaints will be referred to the HRTPO Title VI Administrator for review and action.

2. In order to have the complaint considered under this procedure, the complainant must file the complaint no later than 180 days after:
   a. The date of the alleged act of discrimination; or
   b. Where there has been a continuing course of conduct, the date on which that conduct was discontinued.

   In case, the recipient or his/her designee may extend the time for filing or waive the time limit in the interest of justice, specifying in writing the reason for so doing.

3. Complaints shall be in writing and shall be signed by the complainant and/or the complainant’s representative. Complaints should set forth as fully as possible the facts and circumstances surrounding the claimed discrimination. In the event that a person makes a verbal complaint of discrimination to an officer or employee of the recipient, the person shall be interviewed by the Title VI Administrator. If necessary, the Title VI Administrator will assist the person in putting the complaint in writing and submit the written version of the complaint to the person for signature. The complaint shall then be handled in the usual manner.

4. Within 10 days, the HRTPO Title VI Administrator will acknowledge receipt of the allegation in writing, inform the complainant of action taken or proposed action to process the allegation, advise the respondent of their rights under Title VI and related statutes, and advise the complainant of other avenues of redress available, such as the Virginia Department of Transportation (VDOT) and the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA).

5. Within 10 days, a letter will be sent to the VDOT Central Office, Civil Rights Division, and a copy to the FHWA Virginia Division Office. This letter will list the names of the parties involved, the basis of the complaint, and the assigned investigator.

6. In the case of a complaint against the HRTPO, a VDOT investigator will prepare a final investigative report and send it to the complainant, respondent (HRTPO person listed), the HRTPO Title VI Administrator, and FHWA Virginia Division.
7. Generally, the following information will be included in every notification to the VDOT Office of Civil Rights:
   a. Name, address, and phone number of the complainant.
   b. Name(s) and address(es) of alleged discriminating official(s).
   c. Basis of complaint (i.e., race, color, national origin, sex, age, handicap/disability, income status, limited English proficiency).
   d. Date of alleged discriminatory act(s).
   e. Date of complaint received by the recipient.
   f. A statement of the complaint.
   g. Other agencies (state, local or federal) where the complaint has been filed.
   h. An explanation of the actions the recipient has taken or proposed to resolve the issue raised in the complaint.

8. Within 60 days, the HRTPO Title VI Administrator will conduct and complete an investigation of the allegation and based on the information obtained, will render a recommendation for action in a report of findings to the Executive Director of the recipient of federal assistance. The complaint should be resolved by informal means whenever possible. Such informal attempts and their results will be summarized in the report of findings.

9. Within 90 days of receipt of the complaint, the HRTPO Title VI Administrator will notify the complainant in writing of the final decision reached, including the proposed disposition of the matter. The notification will advise the complainant of his/her appeal rights with the Virginia Department of Transportation or the Federal Highway Administration, if they are dissatisfied with the final decision rendered by the HRTPO. The HRTPO’s Title VI Administrator will also provide the VDOT Civil Rights Central Office with a copy of the determination and report findings.

10. In the case a nondiscrimination complaint that was originated at the HRTPO is turned over to and investigated by VDOT, FHWA or another agency, the HRTPO Title VI Administrator will monitor the investigation and notify the complainant of updates, in accordance with applicable regulations and VDOT policies and procedures.

11. In accordance with federal law, the HRTPO will require that applicants of federal assistance notify the HRTPO of any law suits filed against the applicant or sub-recipients of federal assistance or alleging discrimination; and a statement as to whether the applicant has been found in noncompliance with any relevant civil rights requirements.

12. The HRTPO will submit Title VI accomplishment reports to the VDOT Central Office, Civil Rights Division, in compliance with VDOT’s established processes.

13. The HRTPO will collect demographic data on staff, committees, and program areas in accordance with 23 CFR, 49 CFR and VDOT’s established procedures and guidelines.

14. Pursuant to the Virginia Public Records Act (VPRA) § 42.1-76 et seq., the HRTPO will retain Discrimination Complaint Forms and a log of all complaints filed with or investigated by the HRTPO.

15. Records of complaints and related data will be made available by request in accordance with the Virginia Freedom of Information Act.
Please provide the following information in order to process your complaint. Assistance is available upon request.

Complete this form and mail or deliver to:

**Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization, Title VI Administrator, 723 Woodlake Drive, Chesapeake, VA 23320.**

You can reach our office Monday-Friday from 8:00am to 4:30pm at (757) 420-8300, or you can email the HRTPO Title VI Administrator at TitleVI-Administrator@hrtpo.org.

**DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT FORM**

Complainant’s Name: ___________________________________________________________

Street Address: ______________________________________________________________

City: ___________________________ State: ______ Zip Code: ___________

Telephone No. (Home): ___________________________ (Business): ___________________________

Email Address: ______________________________________________________________

Person discriminated against (if other than complainant):

Name: ____________________________________________________________

Street Address: ____________________________________________________________

City: ___________________________ State: ______ Zip Code: ___________

Telephone No.: ___________________________

The name and address of the agency, institution, or department you believe discriminated against you.

Name: ____________________________________________________________

Street Address: ____________________________________________________________

City: ___________________________ State: ______ Zip Code: ___________

Date of incident resulting in discrimination: ___________________________
Describe how you were discriminated against. What happened and who was responsible?

If additional space is required, please either use back of form or attach extra sheets to form.

Does this complaint involve a specific individual(s) associated with the HRTPO? If yes, please provide the name(s) of the individual(s), if known.

Where did the incident take place?

Are there any witnesses? If so, please provide their contact information:

Name: ________________________________
Street Address: ________________________________
City: __________ State: _______ Zip Code: __________
Telephone No: _____________________________

Name: ________________________________
Street Address: ________________________________
City: __________ State: _______ Zip Code: __________
Telephone No: _____________________________

Did you file this complaint with another federal, state or local agency; or with a federal or state court?

Yes         No

If answer is Yes, check each agency complaint was filed with:

☐ Federal Agency    ☐ Federal Court
☐ State Court       ☐ State Agency
☐ Local Agency
☐ Other

Please provide contact person information for the agency you also filed the complaint with:

Name: ________________________________
Street Address: ________________________________
City: __________ State: _______ Zip Code: __________
Date Filed: ________________________________

Sign the complaint in the space below. Attach any documents you believe support your complaint.

Complainant’s Signature ________________________________  Signature  Date __________
In order to comply with 49 CFR Section 21.9(d), the HRTPO shall provide information to the public regarding their Title VI obligations and apprise members of the public of the protections against discrimination afforded to them by Title VI. The paragraph below will be inserted into all significant publications that are distributed to the public, such as future versions and updates of the Long-Range Transportation Plan, Transportation Improvement Program, and Unified Planning Work Program. The text will be placed permanently on the HRTPO’s website (http://www.hrtpo.org).

The Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO) fully complies with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. For more information, or to obtain a Discrimination Complaint Form, see www.hrtpo.org or call (757) 420-8300.
Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization

LIMITED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY
As a recipient of federal funds, the HRTPO follows the United States Department of Transportation Policy Guidance (U.S. DOT 2005) concerning recipients’ responsibilities to limited English proficient (LEP) persons. Individuals for whom English is not their primary language and who have a limited ability to read, write, speak, or understand English are limited English proficient, or “LEP.” HRTPO’s Title VI and LEP Guide is intended to guide the agency in the provision of meaningful access to its services, programs and activities by LEP persons.

In 2007, the FTA’s Office of Civil Rights released a handbook — implementing the Department of Transportation’s Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients’ Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons — to provide recipients with technical assistance to implement federal guidelines. The U.S. DOT LEP Guidance notes that effective implementation plans typically include the following five elements:

1. Identifying LEP individuals who need language assistance
2. Providing language assistance measures
3. Training staff
4. Providing notice to LEP persons
5. Monitoring and updating the plan

The Federal Transit Administration updated the Title VI Circular to 4702.1B — Title VI and Title VI-Dependent Guidelines for FTA Recipients — in October 2012. This revised circular provides guidance to grantees on how to comply with Title VI regulations and specifies recommended steps to ensure grantees provide meaningful language access to persons who are limited English proficient.
A Limited English Proficiency person is one who does not speak English as their primary language and who has a limited ability to read, speak, write, or understand English very well. The purpose of this Limited English Proficiency Plan is to outline the responsibilities of the Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization (HRTPO) in regards to Limited English Proficient (LEP) persons and establish a process for providing assistance to LEP persons for HRTPO programs, activities, and services pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and Executive Order 13166.

**Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964**

"No person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance."

**Executive Order 13166**

Different treatment based upon a person’s inability to speak, read, write, or understand English may be a type of national origin discrimination. Executive Order 13166 "Improving Access to Services for Persons With Limited English Proficiency," directs each Federal agency that is subject to the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 to publish guidance for its respective recipients and sub-recipients clarifying that obligation. The U.S. Department of Transportation (USDOT) published policy guidance on December 14, 2005 to clarify the responsibilities of recipients of Federal financial assistance from the USDOT.

HRTPO staff has developed the Title VI/Limited English Proficiency (LEP) strategy to provide language assistance for LEP persons seeking meaningful access to HRTPO programs as required by Executive Order 13166 and USDOT’s policy guidance. This strategy details procedures on how to identify a person who may need language assistance, the ways in which assistance may be provided, and guidelines to notify LEP persons that assistance is available. In developing the HRTPO LEP strategy, the HRTPO staff undertook a USDOT Four-Factor LEP analysis, which considers the following:

1. The number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by HRTPO programs, activities, or services.
2. The frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with HRTPO programs, activities, or services;
3. The nature and importance of the program, activity or service provided by the HRTPO to the LEP population; and
4. The resources available to the HRTPO and overall cost to provide LEP assistance.
THE HUMAN MIND is our fundamental RESOURCE

JOHN F. KENNEDY
FACTOR 1: Number or proportion of LEP persons eligible to be served or likely to encounter HRTPO programs, activities, or services.

Using 2006-2010 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates from the US Census Bureau, it was determined that 8.57% of the Hampton Roads population 5 years and older speak a language other than English. Of those, 2.83% or 42,928 are considered LEP persons, defined as individuals who speak English less than "very well". The largest language subpopulation within the LEP population was that of Spanish or Spanish Creole, which has 18,974 LEP persons 5 years and older. This is followed by Asian and Pacific Island speaking LEP persons (14,363), Indo-European speaking LEP persons (7,525), and LEP persons speaking a language not in one of the aforementioned categories (2,067). See Appendix B for further details.

To create a visual display of language speakers throughout Hampton Roads, the information above was mapped by US Census Tract, defined as small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county. The lingual diversity map identifies the location of speakers of languages other than English, including those areas deemed "critical" (one standard deviation above the average number of linguistically diverse persons per census tract). Individual language categories (Spanish and Spanish Creole, Indo-European, Asian and Pacific Island, and Other Languages) are also mapped, likewise identifying the location of respective language speakers and those areas considered critical. The lingual diversity map is then related against mapped LEP population data to relate LEP concentrations to lingual-diverse census tracts in the region. The relationship finds LEP populations are largely contained in linguistically diverse areas of the region, indicating clustering of lingual neighborhoods to enclaves. See Appendix D and E for the maps pertaining to lingual diversity and the relationship to LEP populations.

To help identify potential languages within these general LEP language categorizations, the HRTPO staff consulted 2006-2010 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates: Language Spoken at Home by Ability to Speak English for the Population 5 years and Over. These estimates provide a detailed language population count for unique languages spoken in counties across the United States. Analyzing the data from 2006-2010 ACS 5-Year Estimates, the top three LEP languages spoken at home throughout Hampton Roads include Spanish or Spanish Creole (18,974 or 1.25%), Tagalog (5,680 or 0.37%), and Korean (2,380 or 0.16%) (Appendix C). Other predominant LEP languages spoken at home throughout the region includes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indo-European Languages</th>
<th>Asian and Pacific Islander Languages</th>
<th>Other Languages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spanish or Spanish Creole</td>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>African languages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French or French Creole</td>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>Arabic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FACTOR 2: Frequency with which LEP individuals come in contact with HRTPO programs, activities, or services.

The HRTPO assesses the frequency at which staff has or could possibly have contact with LEP persons. This includes documenting phone inquiries and surveying public meeting attendees. No previous LEP requests have been received thus far. Documentation of LEP requests will be done annually upon implementation of the 2013 Title VI/LEP Plan.

FACTOR 3: Nature and importance of the program, activity or service provided by the HRTPO to the LEP population.

To assess the nature and importance of the programs, activities, and services provided by HRTPO to LEP and general community, the HRTPO conducted an internal and external review:
**Internal Review**

Internally, HRTPO staff evaluated programs and services based on the HRTPO’s function as the regional entity responsible for transportation planning in the Hampton Roads Region, the potential public interest, and the impact upon the quality of life of the public by HRTPO functions. Per evaluation of HRTPO programs, documents, and services, it was determined the following documents could be seen as vital documents:

- Long Range Transportation Plan
- Transportation Improvement Plan
- Unified Planning Work Program
- Public Participation Plan

Based on this evaluation and the language assessment in Factor 1 of the Four Factor analysis, the staff will seek partner organizations proficient in Spanish to provide information about HRTPO plans and programs. Translation and/or interpretation services, for Spanish and other languages, will be considered upon request and in coordination with partner agencies in the region. Furthermore, public meeting notices would be classified as vital documents requiring translation services. To facilitate the translation process for public meeting notices, the staff will prepare a template for the selected primary LEP language.

**External Review**

Externally, a public outreach effort within the identified language communities will be conducted. Community groups that work with the Spanish or Spanish Creole, Tagalog, and Korean populations will be outreached for their input. In this outreach, the HRTPO staff will provide community groups a synopsis of what the primary purpose and functions of the Hampton Roads Transportation Planning Organization and ask what are key issues, programs, services, and activities they perceive to be critical. These will be noted in the transportation planning process and sent forward to the appropriate agency and/or locality as applicable.

**FACTOR 4: Resources available to the HRTPO and overall costs to provide LEP assistance.**

The HRTPO assessment for available resources is an ongoing activity. HRTPO staff will conduct outreach to identify volunteer interpreters, civic groups, and community organizations to further coordinate language assistance services. A database of cost-based translations services has also been prepared.

**HRTPO/HRPDC Language List**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Farsi</th>
<th>Portuguese</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>French</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>Tagalog</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following sections provide more details about how HRTPO staff will provide assistance for persons of Limited English Proficiency, train staff, distribute LEP information to the public, and monitor the LEP plan. Finally, Appendix G provides HRTPO Nondiscrimination Complaint Procedures and a Discrimination Complaint Form.
I find that when you open the door toward OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY, a lot of people will FOLLOW you through.

KIRSTEN GILLIBRAND
HOW TO IDENTIFY AN LEP PERSON WHO NEEDS LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE
HOW TO IDENTIFY AN LEP PERSON WHO NEEDS LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE

• Examine records of requests for language assistance from past meetings and events to anticipate the possible need for assistance at upcoming meetings.

• Set up a sign-in sheet at HRTPO-sponsored events, have a staff member greet and briefly speak to attendees to informally gauge the attendee’s ability to speak and understand English.

• Have the Census Bureau’s “I Speak Cards” at workshop or conference sign-in sheet table. While staff may not be able to provide translation assistance at this meeting, the cards are an excellent tool to identify language needs for future meetings.

• Post a notice of available language assistance in the HRTPO reception area.

• Post a notice of available language assistance on the HRTPO website.

LANGUAGE ASSISTANCE MEASURES

When an interpreter is needed, in person or on the telephone, HRTPO staff will first determine what language is required. HRTPO staff will utilize the services provided by Google translate, or if by phone, seek to address the request with the on-call language service provider.

HRTPO STAFF TRAINING

All HRTPO staff will be provided access to the LEP Plan and will be offered training on procedures and services available. Training topics will include:

• Understanding Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 and LEP responsibilities.

• LEP program responsibilities and obligations.

• Language assistance services offered.

• Use of LEP Language Assistance Cards (“I Speak Cards”).

• Documentation of language assistance requests.
PROVIDING NOTICE OF AVAILABLE LANGUAGE SERVICE TO LEP PERSONS
Signs should be posted that language assistance is available in public areas such as at HRTPO reception, conference room waiting areas, and the HRTPO website.

OUTREACH TECHNIQUES

If HRTPO staff knows that they will be presenting a topic in a geographic location with a known concentration of LEP persons, HRTPO staff will make a concerted effort to have meeting notices, fliers, advertisements, or agendas printed in the alternative language. As well, HRTPO staff will coordinate with local community groups to have someone available who can help interpret information at the meeting.

When running a general public meeting notice in a geographic location that could be of potential importance to LEP persons or if staff will be hosting a meeting or a workshop, HRTPO staff will, to the extent possible, insert the following clause: “An interpreter will be available” in the predominant language. HRTPO staff will seek to coordinate with local community groups to have someone available who can help interpret information at the meeting.

HRTPO will include this statement when running general public meeting notices: “The HRTPO will strive to provide reasonable accommodations and services for persons who require special assistance to participate in this public involvement opportunity. Contact Ms. Kendall Miller, Public Involvement and Title VI Administrator at (757) 420-8300 for more information. Para información en español, llame al (757) 366-4375.

Coordination with local community groups is a key outreach component as HRTPO staff identifies and seeks to engage LEP person in HRTPO programs and activities.

PLAN MONITORING AND UPDATING

HRTPO will monitor and update its Plan for Special Language Services, as needed, to ensure meaningful access to its programs and services by LEP persons. HRTPO will use a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches to monitor whether the Plan for Special Language Services effectively meets the needs of LEP persons across the Hampton Roads region.

On a triennial basis, HRTPO will review demographic data of Hampton Roads LEP populations and solicit feedback from HRTPO staff, LEP persons and community-based organizations serving LEP individuals to evaluate the effectiveness of its Title VI and LEP Plan.

By establishing an evaluative review of the Plan for Special Language Services, HRTPO can assess whether its language assistance services are effective and have impacted relations with LEP communities. HRTPO will monitor its implementation plan by soliciting regular feedback from HRTPO staff and third-party contractors, community-based organizations and LEP persons.

In compliance with U.S. DOT guidelines, HRTPO will monitor and evaluate its Title VI and LEP Plan by reviewing the following information:

- Changes in the number and proportion of LEP persons in the HRTPO planning area
- New demographic data from U.S. Census and American Community Survey
- Changes in the frequency of contact with LEP language groups (e.g., language line usage and translated website pageviews)
- Nature and importance of programs, services and activities to LEP persons
- Expansion of services and programs
- Changes in the availability of resources, including technological advances and/or identification of additional financial resources
- The effectiveness of current language assistance measures in meeting the needs of LEP persons
- Feedback from LEP persons on the effectiveness of current language assistance services
- The effectiveness of staff LEP trainings and agency-wide language assistance protocol (e.g., “Vital Documents Guidelines”)
HRTPO staff will post the LEP Plan on its website at http://www.hrtpo.org. Any person with Internet access will be able to view the plan. Copies of the LEP Plan will also be provided to the HRTPO member jurisdictions and interested parties upon request. For complete information about the HRTPO LEP Program or related program activities, contact HRTPO’s Public Involvement and Title VI Administrator at (757) 420-8300 or at kmiller@hrtpo.org.
Let us be sure that those who come after will say of us in our time, that in our time we did everything that could be done. We finished the race; we kept them free; we kept the faith.

RONALD REAGAN
### Table 3: Population by Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Total population: Male</th>
<th>Total population: Female</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake City</td>
<td>222,209</td>
<td>108,051</td>
<td>114,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>36,858</td>
<td>18,239</td>
<td>18,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton City</td>
<td>137,436</td>
<td>65,750</td>
<td>71,686</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>35,270</td>
<td>17,177</td>
<td>18,093</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>67,009</td>
<td>32,346</td>
<td>34,663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News City</td>
<td>180,719</td>
<td>87,263</td>
<td>93,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk City</td>
<td>242,803</td>
<td>125,797</td>
<td>117,006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson City</td>
<td>12,150</td>
<td>5,997</td>
<td>6,153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth City</td>
<td>95,535</td>
<td>45,933</td>
<td>49,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk City</td>
<td>84,585</td>
<td>40,588</td>
<td>43,997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach City</td>
<td>437,994</td>
<td>214,441</td>
<td>223,553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg City</td>
<td>14,068</td>
<td>6,625</td>
<td>7,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>65,464</td>
<td>32,001</td>
<td>33,463</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4: Population by Age

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>under 18 years</th>
<th>18 years and older</th>
<th>65 years and older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake City</td>
<td>222,209</td>
<td>57,521</td>
<td>164,688</td>
<td>23,146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>36,858</td>
<td>8,140</td>
<td>28,718</td>
<td>5,410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton City</td>
<td>137,436</td>
<td>31,274</td>
<td>106,162</td>
<td>16,856</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>35,270</td>
<td>8,031</td>
<td>27,239</td>
<td>5,165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>67,009</td>
<td>14,385</td>
<td>52,624</td>
<td>13,870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News City</td>
<td>180,719</td>
<td>43,913</td>
<td>136,806</td>
<td>19,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk City</td>
<td>242,803</td>
<td>50,612</td>
<td>192,191</td>
<td>22,796</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson City</td>
<td>12,150</td>
<td>2,969</td>
<td>9,181</td>
<td>1,891</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth City</td>
<td>95,535</td>
<td>22,666</td>
<td>72,869</td>
<td>12,619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk City</td>
<td>84,585</td>
<td>22,137</td>
<td>62,448</td>
<td>9,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach City</td>
<td>437,994</td>
<td>105,249</td>
<td>332,745</td>
<td>46,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg City</td>
<td>14,068</td>
<td>1,404</td>
<td>12,664</td>
<td>1,879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>65,464</td>
<td>16,276</td>
<td>48,188</td>
<td>7,934</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 5: Population by Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>White</th>
<th>Black/African American</th>
<th>American Indian and Alaska Native</th>
<th>Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander</th>
<th>Asian</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake</td>
<td>222,209</td>
<td>139,012</td>
<td>66,237</td>
<td>871</td>
<td>6,383</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>36,858</td>
<td>32,149</td>
<td>3,197</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton</td>
<td>137,436</td>
<td>58,642</td>
<td>68,104</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>2,992</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>35,270</td>
<td>25,318</td>
<td>8,712</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>67,009</td>
<td>53,792</td>
<td>8,805</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>1,506</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News</td>
<td>180,719</td>
<td>88,518</td>
<td>73,514</td>
<td>851</td>
<td>4,956</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>242,803</td>
<td>114,304</td>
<td>104,672</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>7,999</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson</td>
<td>12,150</td>
<td>11,557</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>95,535</td>
<td>39,701</td>
<td>50,878</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>84,585</td>
<td>44,197</td>
<td>36,120</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>1,350</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach</td>
<td>437,994</td>
<td>296,670</td>
<td>85,935</td>
<td>1,685</td>
<td>26,769</td>
<td>657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg</td>
<td>14,068</td>
<td>10,407</td>
<td>1,968</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>808</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>65,464</td>
<td>50,031</td>
<td>8,751</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>3,205</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Population of two or more races**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some other race</td>
<td>Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of two or more</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>races</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,760</td>
<td>6,777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>208</td>
<td>864</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,826</td>
<td>5,124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>191</td>
<td>627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>924</td>
<td>1,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,850</td>
<td>7,715</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,407</td>
<td>8,825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>916</td>
<td>2,488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648</td>
<td>1,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,622</td>
<td>17,656</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353</td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>884</td>
<td>2,243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 6: Population by Economic Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Total population</th>
<th>Total Disabled Population</th>
<th>Workers in Labor Force: 16 Years and over</th>
<th>Mean Travel time to work: 16 years and over</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake</td>
<td>222,209</td>
<td>21,126</td>
<td>118,605</td>
<td>24.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>36,858</td>
<td>4,166</td>
<td>19,019</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton</td>
<td>137,436</td>
<td>14,800</td>
<td>73,527</td>
<td>22.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>35,270</td>
<td>4,947</td>
<td>18,658</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>67,009</td>
<td>5,976</td>
<td>32,091</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News</td>
<td>180,719</td>
<td>16,960</td>
<td>100,378</td>
<td>21.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>242,803</td>
<td>26,678</td>
<td>134,534</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson</td>
<td>12,150</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,339</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>95,535</td>
<td>14,417</td>
<td>49,638</td>
<td>23.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>84,585</td>
<td>9,546</td>
<td>42,360</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach</td>
<td>437,994</td>
<td>39,050</td>
<td>247,933</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg</td>
<td>14,068</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,179</td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>65,464</td>
<td>4,973</td>
<td>34,815</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Median Household Income</th>
<th>Median Income</th>
<th>Total population: Per capital income</th>
<th>Families below Poverty Line in 1999</th>
<th>Individuals below Poverty Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$67,855</td>
<td>$36,133</td>
<td>$29,306</td>
<td>3,100</td>
<td>14,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$59,331</td>
<td>$34,249</td>
<td>$27,395</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>3,385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$49,815</td>
<td>$32,903</td>
<td>$24,051</td>
<td>3,031</td>
<td>17,040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$62,242</td>
<td>$27,279</td>
<td>$29,547</td>
<td>513</td>
<td>2,843</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$73,903</td>
<td>$31,305</td>
<td>$38,162</td>
<td>743</td>
<td>4,446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$49,562</td>
<td>$33,002</td>
<td>$24,249</td>
<td>4,709</td>
<td>23,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$42,667</td>
<td>$31,731</td>
<td>$23,773</td>
<td>6,778</td>
<td>36,847</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$84,315</td>
<td>$34,315</td>
<td>$36,840</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$45,488</td>
<td>$33,132</td>
<td>$22,302</td>
<td>2,925</td>
<td>14,091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$65,104</td>
<td>$31,305</td>
<td>$27,334</td>
<td>2,014</td>
<td>9,109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$64,618</td>
<td>$43,456</td>
<td>$30,873</td>
<td>5,404</td>
<td>29,122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$50,794</td>
<td>$31,305</td>
<td>$22,851</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>1,404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$81,055</td>
<td>$43,543</td>
<td>$35,823</td>
<td>603</td>
<td>2,466</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Table 7: Languages Spoken at Home

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geography</th>
<th>Population 5 years and over</th>
<th>Speak only English</th>
<th>Speak other languages</th>
<th>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot; or at all; Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake</td>
<td>204,951</td>
<td>191,209</td>
<td>13,742</td>
<td>4,442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>34,642</td>
<td>33,770</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton</td>
<td>129,941</td>
<td>120,664</td>
<td>9,277</td>
<td>2,866</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>32,867</td>
<td>31,640</td>
<td>1,227</td>
<td>465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>61,082</td>
<td>55,628</td>
<td>5,454</td>
<td>1,593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News</td>
<td>168,217</td>
<td>152,403</td>
<td>15,814</td>
<td>6,059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>225,119</td>
<td>203,677</td>
<td>21,442</td>
<td>7,531</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson</td>
<td>11,590</td>
<td>10,698</td>
<td>892</td>
<td>252</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>89,623</td>
<td>85,724</td>
<td>3,899</td>
<td>1,194</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>76,531</td>
<td>73,015</td>
<td>3,516</td>
<td>1,014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach</td>
<td>406,725</td>
<td>358,990</td>
<td>47,735</td>
<td>15,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg</td>
<td>13,130</td>
<td>12,099</td>
<td>1,031</td>
<td>356</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>61,184</td>
<td>56,185</td>
<td>4,999</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Speak English less than &quot;very well&quot; or at all; Spanish or Spanish Creole</th>
<th>Does not speak English well or at all; Indo-European languages</th>
<th>Does not speak English well or at all; Asian and Pacific Island languages</th>
<th>Does not speak English well or at all; Other languages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chesapeake</td>
<td>2,053 176 907 214 1,067 3,266 3,895 62 589 652 5,280 291 522</td>
<td>825 45 610 203 209 962 1,313 18 294 173 2,711 26 135</td>
<td>1,489 100 1,024 48 237 1,572 1,802 160 303 183 6,756 30 659</td>
<td>75 0 325 0 80 259 521 12 8 6 708 9 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gloucester County</td>
<td>872 321 9,277 465 1,593 6,059 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hampton</td>
<td>120,664 9,277 465 1,593 6,059 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Wight County</td>
<td>31,640 1,227 465 1,593 6,059 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James City County</td>
<td>55,628 5,454 1,593 6,059 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Newport News</td>
<td>152,403 15,814 6,059 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norfolk</td>
<td>203,677 21,442 7,531 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poquoson</td>
<td>10,698 892 252 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portsmouth</td>
<td>85,724 3,899 1,194 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suffolk</td>
<td>73,015 3,516 1,014 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Beach</td>
<td>358,990 47,735 15,455 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Williamsburg</td>
<td>12,099 1,031 356 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>York County</td>
<td>56,185 4,999 1,380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8: 2010 Detailed LEP Languages Spoken at Home (Regionally)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Language</th>
<th>Number of speakers Regionally</th>
<th>Percentage of Regional Population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>English only</td>
<td>1,385,702</td>
<td>91.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spanish or Spanish Creole</td>
<td>18,974</td>
<td>1.25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tagalog</td>
<td>5,680</td>
<td>0.37%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>2,380</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>2,225</td>
<td>0.15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>2,193</td>
<td>0.14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French or French Creole</td>
<td>1,668</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russian</td>
<td>1,126</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German</td>
<td>1,023</td>
<td>0.07%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>African languages</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arabic</td>
<td>876</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>809</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indic languages</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Persian (Farsi)</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gujarati</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greek</td>
<td>411</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Asian languages</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thai</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mon-Khmer, Cambodian</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other West Germanic languages</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portuguese or Portuguese Creole</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Pacific Island languages</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scandinavian languages</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindi</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungarian</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbo-Croatian</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urdu</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Indo-European languages</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polish</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Slavic languages</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yiddish</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armenian</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navajo</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laotian</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hebrew</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other and unspecified languages</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Native North American languages</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LINGUAL DIVERSITY OF HAMPTON ROADS

Lingual Diversity Map: A tabulation and visual display of the location of speakers of other languages throughout the Hampton Roads region.

Legend
- Below Average Lingual Diversity
- Above Average Lingual Diversity
- Critical Lingual Diversity Target Areas

Average: 318 Diverse Lingual Persons Per Census Tract
Spanish Speaking LEP Target Areas in Hampton Roads by 2010 US Census Tract

Legend
- Below Average Spanish Speaking Population
- Above Average Spanish Speaking Population
- Critical Spanish Speaking LEP Target Areas

Average: 47 Spanish Speaking LEP Persons Per Census Tract
Asian and Pacific Island Speaking LEP Target Areas in Hampton Roads by 2010 US Census Tract

Legend
- Below Average Asian and Pacific Island Speaking Population
- Above Average Asian and Pacific Island Speaking Population
- Critical Asian and Pacific Island Speaking LEP Target Areas

Average: 35 Asian and Pacific Island Speaking LEP Persons Per Census Tract
The best way to find yourself is to lose yourself in the service of others.

Let us always meet each other with a smile for the smile is the beginning of love.

Love is the only force capable of transforming an enemy into a friend.

Leadership and learning are indispensable to each other.
THE BEST WAY TO FIND YOURSELF IS TO LOSE YOURSELF IN THE SERVICE OF OTHERS.  

MAHATMA GANDHI

LEADERSHIP AND LEARNING ARE INDISPENSABLE TO EACH OTHER.  

JOHN F. KENNEDY

THE BEST WAY TO LOVE IS THE ONLY FORCE CAPABLE OF TRANSFORMING AN ENEMY INTO A FRIEND.  

MARTIN LUTHER KING, JR

WITH CONFIDENCE, YOU HAVE WON BEFORE YOU HAVE STARTED.  

MARCUS GARVEY

LET US ALWAYS MEET EACH OTHER WITH SMILE FOR THE SMILE IS THE BEGINNING OF LOVE.  

MOTHER TERESA

THAT’S ALL NONVIOLENCE IS – ORGANIZED LOVE.  

JOAN BAEZ

723 Woodlake Dr.  
Chesapeake, VA 23320  
(757) 420-8300