

AGENDA ITEM #15: FY 2015 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM: PROJECT SELECTION

SUBJECT:

Project selection for the Transportation Alternatives Program.

BACKGROUND:

The Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) created by MAP-21 requires that 50% of the program funds received by the state be sub-allocated to areas based on population, while the other 50% may be obligated to any area of the state. Transportation Management Areas (MPOs having an urban population over 200,000) have the authority to select and award funding from their sub-allocations to projects carried out within their urbanized boundaries through a competitive selection process. In Virginia, District members of the Commonwealth Transportation Board are each provided \$1 million in TAP funds to allocate to projects in their Districts.

HRTPO staff coordinates with VDOT Local Assistance Division (LAD) staff in carrying out the project selection process for Hampton Roads. LAD coordinates the application process and scores proposed projects based on criteria developed in cooperation with Virginia MPOs. Each proposed project is scored by several VDOT staffers individually. The scores from each project evaluator are then combined and divided by the number of evaluators to produce a final average score. MPOs responsible for selecting TAP projects receive the application materials and summary scoring information for each project proposed within the MPO area.

LAD received a total of ten applications, with a total FY 2015 TAP funding request of \$4,700,999 for the Hampton Roads Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA). For FY 2015, the total of TAP funds to be allocated by the HRTPO is \$1,695,112. Brief descriptions of the projects, listed in order by average score, are included in Attachment A. The TAP Project Screening and Scoring Criteria Sheet and TAP Scoring Guidance document are included in Attachment B. The summary scoring tables for each project are included in Attachment C.

The following table shows the allocations (in the HRTPO column) recommended by the Transportation Programming Subcommittee (TPS) during its meeting of March 14, 2014. The CTB member allocations and the projects outside of the HRTPO area, but within the VDOT Hampton Roads District, are shown for informational purposes.

TAP Project Number	Jurisdiction	Project	Score (Max=300)	Total Cost	TAP Request	Allocations		Balance
						CTB	HRTPO	
14030	Virginia Beach	Buckner Boulevard Shared-Use Path	232	\$561,545	\$455,668	\$226,540	\$229,128	\$0
14067	Fish & Wildlife	Southern Tip Bike and Hike Trail	224	\$484,344	\$387,475	\$387,475		\$0
14042	Portsmouth	Portsmouth Trail	222	\$1,125,000	\$900,000		\$900,000	\$0
14029	Virginia Beach	Greenwell Road Sidewalk	221	\$414,962	\$331,969	\$165,985	\$165,984	\$0
14033	Virginia Beach	Shore Drive Bike Facilities	213	\$1,133,328	\$906,662			\$906,662
14031	Virginia Beach	Kendall Street Sidewalk	203	\$302,393	\$241,914			\$241,914
14032	Virginia Beach	Mediterranean & Cypress Avenues Sidewalk	198	\$539,022	\$431,218			\$431,218
14047	HRT	HRT ADA Bus Stops	197	\$350,000	\$280,000			\$280,000
14049	Newport News	Chesapeake Avenue Bike Trail	192	\$500,000	\$400,000		\$400,000	\$0
14009	Suffolk	Shoulders Hill Road Multi-Use Trail and Sidewalk	185	\$350,000	\$280,000			\$280,000
14008	Chesapeake	Chesapeake Trail	183	\$723,100	\$480,000			\$480,000
14050	Franklin	Franklin Multi-Use Path	174	\$42,500	\$34,000			\$34,000
14035	Southampton Co	Nat Turner Trail and Trailhead	143	\$275,000	\$220,000	\$220,000		\$0

Indicates project outside of HRTPO area.

Totals:	\$5,348,906	\$1,000,000	\$1,695,112	\$2,653,794
---------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

Mr. Mike Kimbrel, Principal Transportation Engineer, will brief the TTAC on this item.

Attachment 15-A – TAP Project Request Summaries

Attachment 15-B – TAP Project Screening and Scoring Criteria Sheet and TAP Scoring Guidance document

Attachment 15-C – Summary project scoring tables

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Recommend HRTPO Board approval of a set of TAP projects and allocations.

2014 Transportation Alternatives Project Requests

New Projects

Project Number: 14030

Name: Buckner Boulevard Shared-Use Path

Sponsor: Virginia Beach

Description: Construction of a sidewalk along Buckner Boulevard from Rosemont Road to the western intersection of Roca Drive.

Current Request: \$449,236

Project Cost: \$561,545

Total TAP Funds Anticipated: \$449,236

Average Score: 232

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14042

Name: Portsmouth Trail

Sponsor: Portsmouth

Description: Construction of a bicycle/pedestrian trail on former railroad right of way from the City of Chesapeake city limits to the intersection of West Norfolk Road with Old Coast Guard Boulevard.

Current Request: \$900,000

Project Cost: \$1,125,000

Total TAP Funds Anticipated: \$900,000

Average Score: 222

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14029

Name: Greenwell Road Sidewalk

Sponsor: Virginia Beach

Description: Construction of a 8' wide path along the west side of Greenwell Road from First Court Road to Delco Road and a 5' sidewalk from Delco Road to Shore Drive.

Current Request: \$331,969

Project Cost: \$414,962

Total TAP Funds Anticipated: \$331,969

Average Score: 221

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

2014 Transportation Alternatives Project Requests

New Projects

Project Number: 14033

Name: Shore Drive Bike Facilities

Sponsor: Virginia Beach

Description: Construction of a paved shoulder for an on-road bike facility along both sides of Shore Drive from Diamond Springs Road to Greenwell Road.

Current Request: \$906,662

Project Cost: \$1,133,328

Total TAP Funds

Anticipated: \$906,662

Average Score: 213

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14031

Name: Kendall Street Sidewalk

Sponsor: Virginia Beach

Description: Construction of a sidewalk along the west side of Kendall Street from Admiral Drive to the Cape Henry Trail and along the east side of Kendall Street from the Cape Henry Trail to Shore Drive.

Current Request: \$241,914

Project Cost: \$302,393

Total TAP Funds

Anticipated: \$241,914

Average Score: 203

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14032

Name: Mediterranean and Cypress Avenue Sidewalk

Sponsor: Virginia Beach

Description: Construction of a sidewalk along the west side of both Cypress Avenue and Mediterranean Street from 22nd Street to 24th Street.

Current Request: \$431,218

Project Cost: \$539,022

Total TAP Funds

Anticipated: \$431,218

Average Score: 198

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

2014 Transportation Alternatives Project Requests

New Projects

Project Number: 14047

Name: Hampton Roads Transit ADA Bus Stops

Sponsor: Hampton Roads Transit

Description: Retrofit the most utilized bus stops to become ADA compliant.

Current Request: \$280,000

Project Cost: \$350,000

**Total TAP Funds
Anticipated:** \$280,000

Average Score: 197

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14049

Name: Chesapeake Avenue Bike Trail

Sponsor: Newport News

Description: Construction of a bike route along Chesapeake Avenue from the Monitor/Merrimac Overlook to the Hampton City line. Also construction of a pedestrian promenade walkway along the Chesapeake Avenue seawall.

Current Request: \$400,000

Project Cost: \$500,000

**Total TAP Funds
Anticipated:** \$400,000

Average Score: 192

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks:

Project Number: 14009

Name: Shoulders Hill Road Multi-Use Trail and Sidewalk

Sponsor: Suffolk

Description: Construction of a multi-use trail and sidewalk along Shoulders Hill Road from Bennetts Creek Park Road to Weatherby Way.

Current Request: \$280,000

Project Cost: \$350,000

**Total TAP Funds
Anticipated:** \$280,000

Average Score: 185

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks: SRTS applicant

2014 Transportation Alternatives Project Requests

New Projects

Project Number: 14008

Name: Chesapeake Trail

Sponsor: Chesapeake

Description: Construction of Phase I of the Chesapeake Trail along abandoned Seaboard and Commonwealth Railroad right of way from Interstate 664/Gum Court to the City of Portsmouth Boundary near western Branch Boulevard.

Current Request: \$480,000

Project Cost: \$723,100

**Total TAP Funds
Anticipated:** \$480,000

Average Score: 183

District: Hampton Roads

Remarks: \$123,100 in grants and other donations



Project Screening & Scoring Criteria Sheet

Application No:

Project Title:

STEP 1: INITIAL SCREENING	
Application Requirements	Confirmed
Project is eligible for Transportation Alternatives funding	<input type="checkbox"/>
Project Sponsor is eligible to apply for Transportation Alternatives funding	<input type="checkbox"/>
Project has acceptable beginning and ending termini (has independent utility)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable project budget provided	<input type="checkbox"/>
Acceptable in-kind match documentation provided (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sponsor resolution provided including 20% match commitment	<input type="checkbox"/>
Public hearing /information meeting held or documentation provided	<input type="checkbox"/>
MPO resolution attached (if applicable)	<input type="checkbox"/>
STEP 2: PROJECT RATING	
Project Funding	Point allocation (max. 50)
Accurate / All-inclusive Budget	
Match commitment will be met in cash; no in-kind	
Evidence of cash available to move project forward	
Sponsor participation will exceed 20% match requirement including other funding	
Request fully funds project or evidence provided indicating project can realistically be funded within 2 funding cycles	
Project Funding Score:	
Project Concept	Point allocation (max. 60)
Project scope well defined with termini clearly identified	
ADA compliance present in design / proposal	
Sponsor has performed on-site evaluation and identified any potential obstacles	
Project improves visual impact of site mitigating existing blight and/or future deterioration	
Maintenance / upkeep plan identified	
Project meets a "transportation" need (benefits other than recreational)	
Project enhances design or mitigates negative impacts of a highway project	
Project Concept Score:	
Project Improves the Transportation Network	Point allocation (max. 65)
Project meets Safe Routes to School criteria	
Project provides access to public transportation	
Project provides connectivity, or fills gaps within, existing transportation network	
Provides pedestrian / bicycle facilities where none exist (primary focus)	

Project provides alternate transportation choices to achieve daily needs	
Project improves pedestrian and bicycle safety by adding safety features	
Project reduces traffic speeds by utilizing traffic calming design elements	
Project is part of local / regional comprehensive plan	
Improves the Transportation Network Score:	
Sponsor's Ability to Administer Federal Project	Point allocation (max. 60)
Sponsor has full-time staff to act as Responsible Person (RP)	
RP has experience administering federal-aid transportation projects	
Sponsor has experience administering federal-aid projects	
Sponsor has adequate project financial management system in place	
Sponsor has experience procuring professional services	
Ability to Administer Score:	
Project's Readiness to Proceed	Point allocation (max. 65)
Designer already on-board (either contract or staff)	
Prior phases of this project are under construction or have been completed	
Preliminary work complete: master plan / feasibility / operation/PE study	
30% plus plans developed	
All Right of Way Secured or none needed	
No utility / other conflicts	
Project's Readiness Score:	

TOTAL SCORE: _____

FY15 Transportation Alternatives Scoring Guidance

The (Y/N) indicates a “yes” or “no” score is required. These scoring criteria must receive either a “0” or the maximum score allowable; in most cases a “5”. There can be no other score given. All other criteria (without Y/N indicated), are meant to be scored on a sliding scale. For sliding scale criteria, in addition to the options provided, any number between “0” and the maximum can be given – for example a “7” or a “12”. The descriptions provided are intended to help guide you in determining the appropriate score within the range, but do not have to match exactly.

<u>Project Funding</u>	Maximum Score		Description for Recommended Score
Budget	10	0	No budget
		1	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Budget but no breakdown other than PE/RW/CN • No PE or VDOT review charges
		5	Limited line items / appears incomplete or too low
		10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Extensive item breakdown; includes VDOT charges • Appears to be all-inclusive (utilities, ADA etc) • Some preliminary work done to identify costs
Match	10	0	All match is in-kind
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All match is cash but relies on other grants or donations (not in hand) • Mix of cash and in-kind / donations
		10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Match is all local cash; there is no reliance on contributions or donations • If not local, needed documentation is attached
Cash Available (Y/N)	5	0	No letter or documentation
		5	Letter or documentation attached indicating cash in budget
More than 20% match	20	0	Minimum 20% match is being committed
		5	Match is all cash and exceeds the 20% minimum: 21% to 25%
		10	26% to 30%
		20	Over 30% local contribution
Fully funded (Y/N)	5	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project does not appear to be fully funded • Requested amount (11A) is less than 80% and no additional resources are listed in 10C or Total Anticipated (10A) is greater than request (11A)
		5	Project appears to be fully funded with this request

Maximum: 50

<u>Project Concept</u>	Maximum Score		Description for Recommended Score
Scope	10	0	Scope vague
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scope identified but termini not clear or appear not to meet logical criteria • Scope appears to conflict with budgeted cost
		10	Well defined scope; clear termini and fits with proposed budget
ADA Compliance (sliding scale)	5	0	Application does not mention ADA; or mentions ADA but does not provide evidence of clear understanding
		5	Application indicates an understanding of ADA requirements including surface, width, truncated domes, and public access (bldg)
On site Evaluation	20	0	No on site evaluation details
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On-site evaluation performed by consultant / outside source • Possible conflicts are vague or not mentioned
		10	On-site evaluation performed by staff and conflicts identified but not addressed
		20	On-site evaluation performed by staff; conflicts identified with solutions including cost in budget or no conflicts confirmed
Improves Visual Impact (Y/N)	5	0	Project does not include improvements to visual impact (not primary focus)
		5	Project focuses on improving visual appearance of site; streetscape, historic restoration, plantings, etc.
Maintenance Plan (sliding scale)	5	0	No maintenance plan described / attached; vague reference; or incorrect assumption that VDOT will maintain
		5	Maintenance / operation plan clearly described and includes reference to who, when and funding
Transportation Need	10	0	Does not meet any defined transportation need
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Project is bike / ped but recreational in nature; will provide health benefits • Application states "non-recreational" but does not demonstrate with appropriate destinations / daily needs
		10	Project is bike / ped and clearly identifies destinations that meet daily needs (schools, work, public transportation)
Mitigates Negative Impact (Y/N)	5	0	Project not related or part of highway transportation project
		5	Project enhances highway transportation project (storm water, pedestrian, archeological, erosion control)

Maximum: 60

<u>Improves Network</u>	Maximum Score		Description for Recommended Score
SRTS project (Y/N)	5	0	Not a SRTS project; or is but not being pursued as such
		5	Pursuing as SRTS project and completed attachment
Access to Public Trans	10	0	No demonstrated links to public transportation
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Application states connection but does not clearly establish links Identifies links but only minimal (one bus stop or some distance from stop)
		10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clear links to bus, metro, other transportation modes; includes multiple modes or major systems Project's main focus is transit (EX bus stops)
Provides Connectivity (Y/N)	5	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not a bike / ped project Bike / ped project but does not provide connections to other existing facilities (EX trail spur) Project is rehabilitating existing pedestrian facility Project extends existing facility but does not connect to another facility (EX new section of trail that does not fill in a missing link)
		5	Bike / ped project that connects two existing facilities
Provides New Bike/Ped Facilities	15	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not a bike / ped project Bike / ped facility but is replaces an existing facility
		5	Provides new <u>and</u> rehabilitated bike / ped facilities
		15	Provides a new bike / ped facility where none previously existed OR provides new separation between transportation modes
Provides Alternates	10	0	Does not provide evidence of reducing motorized transportation (cars on the road)
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Does not demonstrate connections to daily needs (school, work, shopping) Provides connections to historic district or amenities such as parking, interpretive marker - not daily needs; more tourism connection
		10	Clearly provides connections to daily needs (school, work, shopping) reducing dependence on motorized transportation
Improves Safety (Y/N)	5	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not a bike / ped facility Bike / ped facility but does not describe safety features including lights, crosswalks / pavement markings, ped signals / poles
		5	Bike / ped project that describes safety features (other than rehabilitating old / cracked facility) and includes costs in budget
Traffic Calming (Y/N)	5	0	Not a streetscape project; no traffic calming features identified
		5	Streetscape project that includes crosswalks and other traditional traffic calming elements
Part of Plan (Y/N)	10	0	Project not part of a plan
		10	Part of a recognized plan (comprehensive plan, VA outdoors plan, etc)

Ability to Sponsor Maximum Description for Recommended Score
Score

Full time RP	10	0	No local staff identified for this role
		5	Part time staff or elected official
		10	Full time engineer / planner on staff available for project
RP has experience	20	0	No related experience
		5	Has experience managing a state aid project
		10	Has federal experience as a team member; minimal experience
		20	Has federal experience managing a federal aid transportation project
Sponsor experience	10	0	No recent experience
		5	Recent experience with state aid; or federal aid but not successful
		10	Recent experience with federal aid
Financial system	10	0	No system; vague
		5	Manual system; all paper
		10	Automated system; computerized with project identifiers
			Mentions invoices, monitoring expenditures, eligible / ineligible
Procurement	10	0	No experience or training
		5	Experience with state / local procurement but not federal; or federal but with consultant not staff
		10	Experience with federal aid procurement; identifies qualifications based, low bid

Maximum: 60

<u>Readiness</u>	Maximum Score		Description for Recommended Score
Designer on-board (Y/N)	5	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No designer Evidence of a preliminary design firm helping to put together application or developing master plan but not full CN plans
		5	Designer on staff or outside firm already hired and preparing 30% plans (not just ideas)
Prior Phases	10	0	New sponsor; no prior experience with TE / TA
		5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sponsor has prior experience with TE / TA on a <u>separate</u> project Experience on same project but with some problems especially slow thru design and project management issues Experience on same project but still in design after several years (not awarded yet)
		10	Prior phase of <u>same</u> project has gone to CN; no or little trouble or demonstrated improvement including staff changes
Preliminary Work	15	5	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Minimal work done; very conceptual or work old and out of date All public participation but nothing on paper / concrete
		10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conceptual work / master plan complete but different design firm ; still need to do an RFP for design Good budget and idea of RW situation; ideally no property needed or survey done
		15	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conceptual work / master plan complete and using same firm for final CN plans 30% or conceptual plans complete Detailed, accurate budget and preliminary work indicates good analysis of RW situation
30% Plans (Y/N)	5	0	No plans
		5	Plans attached and / or already reviewed
RW Secured	20	0	It is not known what RW and/or easements will be needed
		5	RW has not yet been secured
		10	RW secure other than some temporary easements
		20	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No RW needed <u>and</u> documentation attached to confirm this (survey done; letter from VDOT) All RW needed is public or donated / private with deeds attached
No Conflicts	10	0	Conflicts identified but no solution provided
		5	Conflicts identified and to be resolved prior to CN or costs identified in budget
		10	No conflicts possible (off road) or pictures included to confirm

Maximum: 65

**2014 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS
SUMMARY OF PROJECT SCORES BY VDOT LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION**

14008

City of Chesapeake, Chesapeake Trail

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	5	0	0	0	5	10	3	15	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	15	8	0	0	10	10	11	10	6	8	5	5	0	0	5	10	151.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	6	0	5	10	5	8	3	6	0	4	5	5	0	0	5	12	8	5	5	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	6	5	0	5	10	193.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	8	0	5	5	5	10	2	15	0	5	5	0	0	5	5	15	10	5	5	10	7	10	10	7	10	5	5	0	0	5	10	184.0
District	10	5	0	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	5	5	0	0	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	5	0	5	10	205.0
AVERAGE	7.3	1.3	2.5	3.8	5.0	9.5	3.3	14.0	0.0	4.8	5.0	2.5	0.0	1.3	3.8	14.3	9.0	3.8	2.5	10.0	9.3	15.3	10.0	8.3	9.5	5.0	5.3	2.5	0.0	5.0	10.0	
Cat Totals	19.8					39.0							44.5								52.3					27.8						
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	733.0										
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	183.3										

14009

City of Suffolk, Shoulders Hill Road Multi-Use Trail and Sidewalk

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	10	10	0	0	5	10	5	0	0	4	10	0	5	0	0	15	10	5	5	10	10	10	8	8	8	5	5	0	0	10	5	173.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	7	9	5	0	5	6	2	0	0	4	8	5	5	0	0	12	7	5	5	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	4	3	0	10	0	177.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	10	5	0	0	0	9	5	0	0	5	10	5	5	8	5	15	10	5	5	10	10	15	10	6	10	5	5	0	0	10	0	183.0
District	10	10	5	0	5	10	0	0	0	5	10	5	5	0	5	15	10	5	5	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	5	0	10	10	210.0
AVERAGE	9.3	8.5	2.5	0.0	3.8	8.8	3.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	9.5	3.8	5.0	2.0	2.5	14.3	9.3	5.0	5.0	10.0	10.0	16.3	9.5	8.5	9.5	5.0	4.8	2.0	0.0	10.0	3.8	
Cat Totals	24.0					29.5							53.0								53.8					25.5						
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	743.0										
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	185.8										

14029

City of Virginia Beach, Greenwell Road Sidewalk

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	9	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	9	0	0	6	5	15	9	5	0	10	10	15	8	10	7	5	5	0	0	20	5	213.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	9	10	5	0	5	9	3	17	0	3	8	0	0	8	5	12	8	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	10	5	0	20	5	222.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	1	10	0	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	0	0	5	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	0	0	0	20	10	211.0
District	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	0	0	10	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	10	0	20	5	240.0
AVERAGE	7.3	10.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	9.8	4.5	19.3	0.0	4.5	9.3	0.0	0.0	7.3	5.0	14.3	9.3	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	18.8	9.5	10.0	9.3	5.0	5.0	3.8	0.0	20.0	6.3	
Cat Totals	26.0					47.3							50.8								57.5					40.0						
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	886.0										
																					TOTAL AVERAGE	221.5										

**2014 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS
SUMMARY OF PROJECT SCORES BY VDOT LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION**

14030

City of Virginia Beach, Buckner Boulevard Shared-Use Path

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	5	0	8	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	8	5	0	15	5	10	5	236.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	8	10	5	0	5	8	4	12	0	3	5	5	0	8	5	12	8	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	7	5	10	10	215.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	15	0	5	10	5	0	3	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	12	5	10	8	233.0
District	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	5	0	10	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	15	5	10	5	245.0
AVERAGE	9.5	10.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	9.5	4.8	16.8	0.0	4.5	8.8	5.0	0.0	7.3	5.0	14.3	9.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	20.0	10.0	10.0	9.5	5.0	3.8	12.3	5.0	10.0	7.0	
Cat Totals	29.5					49.3							51.0								59.5					43.0						
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	929.0									
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	232.3									

14031

City of Virginia Beach, Kendall Street Sidewalk

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	8	10	5	0	5	8	5	18	0	5	10	0	5	8	5	15	10	5	5	10	10	18	10	8	5	0	5	15	0	10	5	223.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	8	9	5	0	5	9	3	12	0	3	7	0	0	0	5	13	9	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	6	3	0	5	5	187.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	5	10	0	5	0	10	5	20	0	5	7	5	0	0	5	10	5	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	10	5	0	5	5	197.0
District	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	5	0	0	0	5	15	5	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	10	0	5	5	205.0
AVERAGE	7.8	9.8	3.8	1.3	3.8	9.3	4.5	17.5	0.0	4.5	7.3	1.3	1.3	2.0	5.0	13.3	7.3	5.0	1.3	10.0	10.0	19.5	10.0	9.5	8.8	3.8	6.5	8.3	0.0	6.3	5.0	
Cat Totals	26.3					44.3							45.0								57.8					29.8						
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	812.0									
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	203.0									

14032

City of Virginia Beach, Mediterranean and Cypress Avenue Sidewalk

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	9	10	5	0	5	10	5	15	0	5	10	0	0	0	5	10	10	5	0	10	10	15	10	10	8	5	5	0	0	10	5	192.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	9	10	5	0	5	9	4	17	0	4	7	0	0	0	5	12	7	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	4	0	10	5	198.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	8	0	5	0	5	8	5	20	0	5	8	0	0	0	5	5	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	0	0	10	3	182.0
District	10	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	0	0	0	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	10	0	10	5	220.0
AVERAGE	9.0	7.5	5.0	0.0	5.0	9.3	4.8	18.0	0.0	4.8	8.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	10.5	9.3	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	18.8	10.0	10.0	9.5	5.0	5.0	3.5	0.0	10.0	4.5	
Cat Totals	26.5					45.5							39.8								58.3					28.0						
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	792.0									
																						TOTAL AVERAGE	198.0									

**2014 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS
SUMMARY OF PROJECT SCORES BY VDOT LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION**

14033

City of Virginia Beach, Shore Drive Bike Facilities

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	8	10	5	0	5	8	5	10	0	5	10	0	0	8	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	15	8	10	8	5	0	15	0	10	5	205.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	8	10	5	0	0	8	3	5	0	3	4	5	0	8	5	15	8	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	7	5	10	9	203.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	3	5	5	0	5	10	4	10	0	5	10	5	0	10	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	8	5	5	7	12	0	10	5	209.0
District	8	10	5	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	0	0	10	5	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	15	3	10	5	236.0
AVERAGE	6.8	8.8	5.0	0.0	3.8	9.0	4.3	11.3	0.0	4.5	8.5	2.5	0.0	9.0	5.0	15.0	9.5	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	18.8	9.5	9.5	8.3	5.0	4.3	12.3	2.0	10.0	6.0	
Cat Totals	24.3					40.0							53.5								56.0					39.5						
TOTAL AVERAGE																					853.0											
TOTAL AVERAGE																					213.3											

14042

City of Portsmouth, Portsmouth Trail

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	10	0	0	0	5	10	5	20	0	5	10	0	0	5	0	15	10	5	0	10	10	12	8	10	7	0	6	15	0	20	5	203.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	8	0	0	20	5	8	3	10	0	3	9	0	0	7	5	13	9	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	0	3	10	0	20	10	218.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	8	0	0	20	5	8	3	10	0	3	9	0	0	7	5	13	9	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	0	3	10	0	20	10	218.0
District	10	5	0	0	5	10	5	20	5	5	10	5	5	10	5	15	10	5	5	10	10	20	10	10	10	0	0	15	0	20	10	250.0
AVERAGE	9.0	1.3	0.0	10.0	5.0	9.0	4.0	15.0	1.3	4.0	9.5	1.3	1.3	7.3	3.8	14.0	9.5	5.0	1.3	10.0	10.0	18.0	9.5	10.0	9.3	0.0	3.0	12.5	0.0	20.0	8.8	
Cat Totals	25.3					44.0							52.0								56.8					44.3						
TOTAL AVERAGE																					889.0											
TOTAL AVERAGE																					222.3											

14047

Hampton Roads Transit, Hampton Roads Transit ADA Bus Stops

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	4	10	5	0	5	5	5	10	0	3	4	0	0	10	0	0	10	5	0	10	10	15	10	10	8	5	0	10	0	15	10	179.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	5	9	5	0	5	9	5	7	0	5	7	0	0	9	0	0	9	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	0	7	0	20	0	182.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	8	10	5	0	5	0	5	15	0	3	7	0	0	10	0	0	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	9	10	5	0	5	0	20	10	192.0
District	10	10	0	0	5	5	5	20	5	5	10	5	5	10	0	15	10	5	0	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	0	0	5	20	10	235.0
AVERAGE	6.8	9.8	3.8	0.0	5.0	4.8	5.0	13.0	1.3	4.0	7.0	1.3	1.3	9.8	0.0	3.8	9.8	5.0	0.0	10.0	10.0	18.8	10.0	9.8	9.5	5.0	0.0	5.5	1.3	18.8	7.5	
Cat Totals	25.3					36.3							39.5								58.0					38.0						
TOTAL AVERAGE																					788.0											
TOTAL AVERAGE																					197.0											

**2014 TRANSPORTATION ALTERNATIVES PROGRAM PROJECT SELECTION PROCESS
SUMMARY OF PROJECT SCORES BY VDOT LOCAL ASSISTANCE DIVISION**

14049

City of Newport News, Chesapeake Avenue Bike Trail

	A - Project Funding					B - Project Concept							C - Improves Network								D - Ability to Sponsor					E - Readiness						Total Score
	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	
Chenault	5	10	5	0	5	8	3	12	0	5	5	0	0	8	5	10	5	0	5	10	10	15	10	6	6	5	0	5	0	15	10	183.0
Liston																																0.0
Williams	3	10	5	0	5	5	1	0	0	2	5	0	0	4	5	13	4	5	5	10	10	10	10	2	10	5	5	5	0	19	10	168.0
Fulton																																0.0
Marsh	10	10	5	0	5	8	0	20	0	5	8	0	0	5	5	10	8	5	5	5	10	20	4	4	10	5	5	7	0	20	10	209.0
District	5	10	0	0	5	10	0	5	0	5	10	0	0	5	5	15	10	0	5	10	10	20	10	10	10	5	5	5	5	20	10	210.0
AVERAGE	5.8	10.0	3.8	0.0	5.0	7.8	1.0	9.3	0.0	4.3	7.0	0.0	0.0	5.5	5.0	12.0	6.8	2.5	5.0	8.8	10.0	16.3	8.5	5.5	9.0	5.0	3.8	5.5	1.3	18.5	10.0	
Cat Totals	24.5					29.3							45.5								49.3					44.0						
																															TOTAL AVERAGE	770.0
																																192.5