

ATTACHMENT 5

OPTION 1 vs OPTION 2 COMMENTS



From: Craig Quigley <cquigley@hrmffa.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 30, 2019 10:30:53 AM
To: 'Camelia Ravanbakht'
Cc: Rick Dwyer; Craig Quigley
Subject: FW: Regional Connectors Study Meeting Follow Up

Good morning, Camelia! Nice to see you yesterday; assume you had cataract surgery? Never fear; your vision will be crisp in no time!

I recommend Option 1 for the reason I mentioned yesterday – to avoid confusion with the public on making changes to projects once expectations have been set. No issues with scope and budget for Phase 2, but schedule must dovetail with required 2045 LRTP schedule so as to avoid confusion.

See you on the 13th!

Craig



From: Fowler, Brian <brian.fowler@norfolk.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, February 5, 2019 3:11 PM
To: Camelia Ravanbakht
Cc: Inman, Amy M; Armstrong, Christine
Subject: RE: Regional Connectors Study Meeting Follow Up

Camelia,

The City of Norfolk has the following comments:

For the RCS Overall Schedule, we recommend Option 2. A schedule according to Option 1 does not allow sufficient time for this critical study to be conducted at the necessary level of quality and collaboration. Option 2 will allow sufficient time, and does not prevent future programming of major regional projects in a timely and properly prioritized fashion. Option 2 would also allow what we believe to be some important Phase 2 Scope/Schedule modifications.

=====