

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - HIGHWAY

Highway Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Congestion Level</b>	<b>40.00</b>
% Reduction in Existing and Future V/C Ratios (Daily Delay)	9.00
Existing Peak Period Congestion/Level of Service	8.00
Congestion Duration	5.00
Person Throughput	5.00
Person Hours of Delay	5.00
Impact to Nearby Roadways	8.00
<b>Travel Time Reliability</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)	10.00
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	5.00
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>25.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	12.00
Improves Access to Major Employment or Population Centers	3.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	3.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>Safety and Security</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Reduction of EPDO of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	5.00
Reduction of EPDO Rate of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	5.00
Improvement to Incident Management or Evacuation Routes	5.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>5.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	3.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	2.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Travel Time and Delay Impacts</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Total Reduction in Regional Travel Time	15.00
Total Reduction in Regional Delay	15.00
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Increased Access for High Density Employment Areas	10.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Increases Access for Defense Installations	6.00
Facility part of STRAHNET/Roadway Serving the Military	4.00/3.00
Increases Access for Port Facilities	5.00
Provides Improved Access to Truck Zones	5.00
Increases Access to Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides New or Increased Access	5.00
Supports Plans for Future Growth	5.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	5.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	5.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	4.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	4.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	2.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	3.00
Project reduces traffic delay at a congested bottleneck with high percentage of truck traffic	2.00
Percentage of truck traffic (for congested bottlenecks with high truck traffic)	2.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - INTERCHANGE

Interchange Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Congestion Level</b>	<b>40.00</b>
Existing Queue Conditions: Number of Approaches with Queues	10.00
Queue Improvements: Number of Approaches Improved	10.00
Person Throughput	5.00
Person Hours of Delay	5.00
Number of Movements Added or Improved	10.00
<b>Travel Time Reliability</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)	10.00
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	5.00
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>25.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	12.00
Improves Access to Major Employment or Population Centers	3.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	3.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>Safety and Security</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Reduction of EPDO of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	5.00
Reduction of EPDO Rate of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	5.00
Improvement to Incident Management or Evacuation Routes	5.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>5.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	3.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	2.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Travel Time and Delay Impacts</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Total Reduction in Regional Travel Time	15.00
Total Reduction in Regional Delay	15.00
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Increased Access for High Density Employment Areas	10.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Increases Access for Defense Installations	6.00
Facility part of STRAHNET/Roadway Serving the Military	4.00/3.00
Increases Access for Port Facilities	5.00
Provides Improved Access to Truck Zones	5.00
Increases Access to Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides New or Increased Access	5.00
Supports Plans for Future Growth	5.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	5.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	5.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	4.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	4.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	2.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	3.00
Project reduces traffic delay at a congested bottleneck with high percentage of truck traffic	2.00
Percentage of truck traffic (for congested bottlenecks with high truck traffic)	2.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

### 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - BRIDGE/TUNNEL

Bridge & Tunnel Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Congestion Level</b>	<b>40.00</b>
% Reduction in Existing and Future V/C Ratios (Daily Delay)	9.00
Existing Peak Period Congestion/Level of Service	8.00
Congestion Duration	5.00
Person Throughput	5.00
Person Hours of Delay	5.00
Impact to Nearby Roadways	8.00
<b>Travel Time Reliability</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)	10.00
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	5.00
<b>Infrastructure Condition</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Bridge State of Good Repair Ratings:</b>	
Condition Factor	5.50
Importance Factor	4.50
Design Redundancy Factor	3.00
Structure Capacity	2.00
<b>Tunnels:</b>	
Age of Tunnel	5.00
Last Major Repair	5.00
Costs for Necessary Repairs/Upgrades	5.00
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	3.00
Improves Access to Major Employment or Population Centers	3.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	2.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>Safety and Security</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Reduction of EPDO of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	2.50
Reduction of EPDO Rate of Fatal and Serious Injury Crashes	2.50
Improvement to Incident Management or Evacuation Routes	3.00
Diversion Impact Due to Failure (Impact of Detour to Alternate Crossing)	2.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>5.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	2.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	2.00
Provides Continuous Maritime Crossing	1.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Travel Time and Delay Impacts</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Total Reduction in Regional Travel Time	15.00
Total Reduction in Regional Delay	15.00
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Increased Access for High Density Employment Areas	10.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Increases Access for Defense Installations	6.00
Facility part of STRAHNET/Roadway Serving the Military	4.00/3.00
Increases Access for Port Facilities	5.00
Provides Improved Access to Truck Zones	5.00
Increases Access to Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides New or Increased Access	5.00
Supports Plans for Future Growth	5.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	5.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/EDAs	5.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	4.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	4.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	2.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	3.00
Project reduces traffic delay at a congested bottleneck with high percentage of truck traffic	2.00
Percentage of truck traffic (for congested bottlenecks with high truck traffic)	2.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - INTERMODAL/FREIGHT

Intermodal/Freight Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Better Accommodates Intermodal Movements</b>	<b>30.00</b>
<b>Improves Rail/Vehicular Access</b>	<b>30.00</b>
<b>Travel Time Reliability</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)	5.00
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	10.00
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	7.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	3.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	1.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	1.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	6.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	4.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Travel Time and Delay Impacts</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Total Reduction in Regional Travel Time	15.00
Total Reduction in Regional Delay	15.00
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Impact on Truck Movement	15.00
Increases Access for High Density Employment Areas	5.00
<b>Improves Interaction Between Modes of Travel for Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Increases Access for Port Facilities	5.00
Improves Access to Truck Zones	5.00
Improves Flow of Rail	5.00
Increases Access to Air	5.00
<b>Increased Opportunity</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Provides New or Increased Access	15.00
Supports Plans for Future Growth	10.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	5.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	4.00
Percentage of truck traffic (for congested bottlenecks with high truck traffic)	3.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - TRANSIT

Transit Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Congestion - Percent of Trips Removed from Roadways</b>	<b>10.00</b>
<b>Existing Usage and/or Prospective Ridership, Coverage Area/ Population Served</b>	<b>20.00</b>
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>25.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	8.00
Improves Access to Major Employment or Population Centers	7.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	3.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>User Benefit</b>	<b>35.00</b>
Annual Travel Time Savings per Rider	10.00
New Project	5.00
Increased Travel Time Reliability	5.00
Operating Efficiency	5.00
Accessibility (including ADA) and/or Customer Experience	5.00
Safety and Security	5.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	6.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	4.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Increases Access for Major Employment Centers	20.00
Increases Frequency of Service	10.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides or Improves Access for Defense Installations	10.00
Provides/Improves Access for Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity - Provides New Access to the Network</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Supported by Plans for Increased Density and Economic Activity	15.00
Provides New Access to the Network	5.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	5.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	5.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	8.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	8.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	4.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	4.00
Air Quality/Emissions Reduction (Tons of emissions (HC and Nox) reduced per year)	3.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION

Active Transportation Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Existing Usage and/or User Demand</b>	<b>20.00</b>
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Access to Transit, Local, or Regional Destinations	10.00
Regional Significance	4.00
Connections to Existing Bicycle/Pedestrian Facilities	5.00
Elimination of Barriers to Major Destinations	5.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	1.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
<b>Safety</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Crash History	15.00
Level of Separation/Network Quality	10.00
Associated with Safe Routes to School	5.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	10.00
Enhances First Mile - Last Mile Connections	6.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	4.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Increases Access for Major Employment Centers	20.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides or Improves Access for Defense Installations	10.00
Provides/Improves Access for Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity - Provides New Access to the Network</b>	<b>40.00</b>
Supports Plans for Future Growth	10.00
Provides New Access to the Network	10.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	10.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	10.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	8.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	8.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	4.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Access to Natural and Cultural Resources	6.00
Air Quality/Emissions Reduction (Tons of emissions (HC and Nox) reduced per year)	4.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>

## 2050 LRTP Project Prioritization Weighting Factors - SYSTEMS/DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Systems/Demand Management Projects	
<b>PROJECT UTILITY</b>	
<b>Congestion Level</b>	<b>40.00</b>
Existing Congestion Level	20.00
Project Improves Level of Service or Increases Service Capacity	10.00
Person Throughput	5.00
Person Hours of Delay	5.00
<b>Travel Time Reliability</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Level of Travel Time Reliability (LOTTR)	10.00
Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	5.00
<b>System Continuity and Connectivity</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Degree of Regional Impact	3.00
Improves Access to Major Employment or Population Centers	3.00
Minimizes Trip-Loss During Disruptive Events	2.00
Flooding Vulnerability Addressed/Not Vulnerable	2.00
Access to Critical Areas/Facilities	2.00
Maintains Access to Critical Areas/Facilities Maintained During Disruptive Events	1.00
Addresses a Gap	2.00
<b>Safety and Security</b>	<b>15.00</b>
Degree Project Will Reduce Crashes (use EPDO data when possible)	5.00
Improvement to Incident Management or Evacuation Routes	5.00
Emergency Preemption or Incident Detection	5.00
<b>Project Type Dependent Measures</b>	<b>10.00</b>
(refer to Project Type Measures for specifics)	10.00
<b>Modal Enhancements</b>	<b>5.00</b>
Enhances Other Modal Categories	3.00
Access to Multimodal Choices	2.00
<b>PROJECT UTILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY</b>	
<b>Travel Time and Delay Impacts</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Total Reduction in Regional Travel Time	15.00
Project Improves Delay During Peak Congestion and/or Special Events	15.00
<b>Labor Market Access</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Increased Access for High Density Employment Areas	10.00
<b>Addresses the Needs of Basic Sector Industries</b>	<b>30.00</b>
Increases Access for Defense Installations	6.00
Facility part of STRAHNET/Roadway Serving the Military	4.00/3.00
Increases Access for Port Facilities	5.00
Provides Improved Access to Truck Zones	5.00
Increases Access to Tourist Destinations	10.00
<b>Increased Opportunity</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Provides New of Increased Access	5.00
Supports Plans for Future Growth	5.00
Provides Access to Institutions of Higher Education (including workforce development sites)	5.00
Improved Access to UDAs/GOZs/IEDAs	5.00
<b>Economic Distress Factors</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Provides Access to Low Income Areas	4.00
Provides Access to Areas with High Unemployment	4.00
Maintains Access to Transportation-Vulnerable Communities During Disruptive Events	2.00
<b>ECONOMIC VITALITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY</b>	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	<b>45.00</b>
Percentage of Committed Funding	10.00
Prior Commitment	10.00
Project alignment status	5.00
Percentage of Project Design Complete	5.00
Environmental Documents Status	5.00
Environmental Decisions Obtained	5.00
ROW Obtained/Utilities Coordinated	5.00
<b>Land Use/Future Development Compatibility</b>	<b>15.00</b>
<b>Environmental:</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Environmental MOEs	3.00
Acres of Natural and Cultural Resources	3.00
Project reduces traffic delay at a congested bottleneck with high percentage of truck traffic	2.00
Percentage of truck traffic (for congested bottlenecks with high truck traffic)	2.00
<b>System Importance</b>	<b>10.00</b>
Project Regret Score	5.00
Infrastructure Criticality	5.00
<b>Cost Effectiveness</b>	<b>20.00</b>
Benefits to Cost Comparison	15.00
Return on Investment Across Scenarios (includes delay and repair cost savings)	5.00
<b>PROJECT VIABILITY TOTAL</b>	<b>100.00</b>